

## CALL-IN REQUEST FORM

This form must be completed, signed by at least two members of any Scrutiny Commission or Scrutiny Committee and returned to the Scrutiny Team within 3 working days of the decision being published (*not including the day of publication*)

<b>Decision taker:</b>	<b>Cabinet</b>
<b>Date of publication of decision:</b>	<b>15 June 2011</b>
<b>Decision Called in :</b>	<b>Local Authority Mortgage Scheme - JUN11/CAB/061</b>

	<b>REASONS FOR CALL-IN</b>	<b>Tick which reason applies</b>
1.	Decision contrary to the policy framework?	√
2.	Decision contrary or not wholly consistent with the budget?	
3.	Decision is Key but it has not been dealt with in accordance with the Council's Constitution.	
4.	Decision does not follow principles of good decision-making set out in Article 12 of the Council's Constitution.	√
If reason 4, please tick which specific element of Article 12 the decision maker has not followed, did he or she not:		
(a)	Realistically consider all alternatives and, where reasonably possible, consider the views of the public.	√
(b)	Understand and keep to the legal requirements regulating their power to make decisions	
(c)	Take account of all relevant matters, both in general and specific, and ignore any irrelevant matters.	
(d)	Act for a proper purpose and in the interests of the public.	
(e)	Keep to the rules relating to local government finance.	
(f)	Follow procedures correctly and be fair.	
(g)	Make sure they are properly authorised to make the decisions.	
(h)	Be responsible for their decisions and be prepared to give reasons for them.	
(i)	Take appropriate professional advice from officers.	

**Detailed Reason(s) for Call-in.** *Please explain below why one of the reasons for call-in applies (eg. For number 1 - which major policy affected and how/why)*

1. This decision does not fit with the anti poverty work or the elderly and poorest will not be able to use the proposed scheme in practice, nor will the unwaged and disabled.
2. Were pecuniary interests declared?
3. The Council did not consider other providers to Lloyds nor did the Council look at obtaining the expertise or a partnership to provide mortgages directly.
4. The views of the public and other stakeholders were not effectively sought as no reasonable time period for comment was provided.
5. Sector Treasury Services proposed the scheme and they will also monitor it.

	<b>Name (please print)</b>	<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
<b>1.</b>	Councillor E Murphy	<b>Councillor E Murphy</b>	17 June 2011
<b>2.</b>	Councillor Mohammed Jamil	<b>Councillor Mohammed Jamil</b>	17 June 2011
<b>3.</b>			